

## ***Interactive comment on “Thermogeodynamic manifestations in the Caucasus and their genesis” by G. E. Gugunava et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 29 September 2010

This ms is poorly written and does not explain what it is all about. The terms used are not familiar, and the authors have not expressed themselves clearly. So a sentence "it is a very interesting second possible possibility" is not further substantiated with data or good references. The references are all in obscure papers, which are not accessible for us or, our library. Yet all of their conclusions are based on these papers, so there is little to judge here.

Figures are all too generalized, or unclear what their meaning is.

an introduction about what the paper is all about is lacking, so the reader is kept guessing.

I suggest the authors to rewrite their paper completely, and have someone familiar in

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



english proofread the paper first.

---

Interactive comment on eEarth Discuss., 4, 77, 2009.

eED

4, C20–C21, 2010

---

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

